

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/829,409	04/09/2001	Friedrich Siebers	NHL-FMW-02A US (SCT)	8996		
432 7	7590 05/20/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER		
152	NGMAN & ASSOCL	BOLDEN, ELIZABETH A				
P. O. BOX 130		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
GREENSBUR	G, PA 15601-0130		1755			
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004	ŀ		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.	1.7%					
Examiner Elizabeth A. Bolden 1755	**		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	
Elizabeth A. Bolden 1755			09/829,4	09		
Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estensions of term may be available under the previous of 3° CFR 1.316(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Estensions of term may be available under the previous of 3° CFR 1.316(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. I MO period to reply is specified beatone, the maintem attentary period will apply and will expire \$1,00 (days will be considered limits). I MO period to reply is specified the trans treas mostles. Faiture to reply within the set or extended period for righy will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (36 U.S.C. § 1.33). Alter 100 (months of term adjustment. See 3° CFR 1.704(s)). Status 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 17 July 2003. 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This action is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ■ Claim(s) 45-64 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) Is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5i) ■ Claim(s) 45-64 is/are rejected. 7) ■ Claim(s) 46-64 is/are rejected to. 8) ■ Claim(s) 46-64 is/are rejected to. 8) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ■ The drawing(s) filed on Is/are: a) ■ accepted or b) ■ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ■ The drawing(s) filed on Is/are: a) ■ accepted or b) ■ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 10) ■ All b) ■ Some * c) ■ None of: 1 ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 ■ Certified copies of th		Office Action Summary	Examine	r		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of term may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(s). In no event, however, may a righty be timely filled in the provision of 37 CFR 1.35(s). In no event, however, may a righty be timely filled the provision of 37 CFR 1.35(s). The content of thinty could be a provision of 37 CFR 1.35(s). The content of thinty could be a provision of 37 CFR 1.35(s). The content of thinty could be a provision of 37 CFR 1.35(s). The content of thinty could be a provision of the content			Elizabeth	A. Bolden	1755	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3° CPR 1.36(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled single SX (s) MCM*P15 time the maining object of 19° communications of 3° CPR 1.36(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled single SX (s) MCM*P15 time the maining object of 19° communication of 3° CPR 1.36(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled single SX (s) MCM*P15 time the maining object of 19° communication of 19° communic			n appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 July 2003. 2a) ☑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 45-64 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 45-64 is/are rejected. 7) ☑ Claim(s) 45-64 is/are rejected. 7) ☑ Claim(s) 48 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	A SHOR THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI as of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic iod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, io reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by received by the Office later than three months after the	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no exon. , a reply within the staperiod will apply and v statute, cause the ap	rent, however, may a reply be tutory minimum of thirty (30) d vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro olication to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this cor NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 45-64 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 45-64 is/are pending in the application. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 45-64 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 48 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 48 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) — are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s)** Paper Note)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Palent Application (PTO-152)	Status					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 45-64 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 45-64 is/are pending in the application. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 45-64 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 48 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 48 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) — are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s)** Paper Note)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Palent Application (PTO-152)	1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) filed on	17 July 2003.			
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 45-64 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5] □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6] □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6] □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7] □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9] □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10] □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11] □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12] □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No, 3 □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Paper Note)/Mail Date Paper Note of Information Palent Application (PTO-152)	·	· '		non-final.		
4) Claim(s) 45-64 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 48 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	3) <u>□</u> Si	nce this application is in condition for all	lowance excep	for formal matters, p		merits is
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No, 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No, 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No, 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Disposition	of Claims	•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colonic None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Online of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Online of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4a 5)∭ CI 6)⊠ CI 7)⊠ CI	Of the above claim(s) is/are wit aim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) <u>45-64</u> is/are rejected. aim(s) <u>48</u> is/are objected to.	hdrawn from co			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Application	Papers				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	.9)∐ Th	e specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	10)∐ Th	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the	e Examiner.	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Ap	plicant may not request that any objection to	to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			•	.	•	` '
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	a)⊠ 1. 2. 3.	All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority docur Certified copies of the priority docur Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Br	ments have bee ments have bee priority docum ureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applica ents have been recei le 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National S	Stage
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Attachment(s)					
) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_	References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413)	
/ Internation Discours Statement(s) (1.10.11.10/05/05)	2) 🔲 Notice of	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	•	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date	.152)
			ob/U0)	· —	storier application (FTO-	102)

Art Unit: 1755

DETAILED ACTION

Any rejections and or objections, made in the previous Office Action, and not repeated below, are hereby withdrawn.

Claim Objections

Claim 48 is objected to because of the following informalities: typographical error.

In line 3 of claim 48, lithium oxide is incorrectly identified as LiO₂ it should be changes to read Li₂O.

In multiple places in the claims it is unclear whether the text of the claims includes extra punctuation such as periods or whether these marks occur during transmitting the amendment by fax. For example in claim 58, it appears that there may be a period at the end of line 10 after the work glass as well as at the end of line 14 after the number 69. A claim should be only one sentence and length. However, since the current copy of the amendment is not completely clear whether these are extraneous marks or intended punctuation the entire body of the claim will be considered one sentence from claim number to claim number. Please confirm that the claims are only one sentence in length.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1755

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 45-48, 50, 53, 54, 57, 62, and 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gaskell et al., U.S. Patent 3,809,543.

Gaskell et al. disclose a glass that is manufactured by the float method. See column 3, lines 32-39. Gaskell et al. disclose that the glass can be made from the SiO₂-Al₂O₃-Li₂O system with nucleating agents such as TiO₂, ZrO₂, and P₂O₅. See column 1, lines 46-52. Gaskell et al. disclose Example 9, which meets the compositional limitations of claims 45-48, 50, 53, 54, 57, 62, and 63. See Table I. Gaskell et al. disclose that these glasses are thermally treated to form a crystallizable glass-ceramic. See column 2, lines 56-65. Gaskell et al. disclose that the crystal phases present are Beta-quartz, beta-spodumene (also known as keatite), and beta-eucryptite. See column 7, line 36 and column 8, lines 21 and 38.

Claims 50, 54, and 63 recite that the glass is "configured to be prestessable". Gaskell et al. disclose a flat glass, which could undergo "prestressing" treatments. See column 3, lines 32-38.

Since the composition of the reference is the same as those claimed herein it follows that the glasses of Gaskell et al. would inherently possess the same light transmittance, coefficient of thermal expansion, T_g, and processing temperatures as recited in claims 50, 54, and 63. See MPEP 2112.

Claims 45-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Krolla et al., U.S. Patent 5,446,008.

Art Unit: 1755

Krolla et al. disclose a transparent or translucent glass-ceramic comprising a lithium-alumino-silicate glass. See abstract of Krolla et al. The compositional ranges of Krolla et al. are sufficiently specific to anticipate the compositional limitations of claims 45-64. See MPEP 2131.03. Krolla et al. disclose that the glass optionally contain high quartz and/or keatite crystals. See abstract of Krolla et al. The reference discloses that the glass ceramics are used for cooking surfaces, cookware, and domes for IR detectors in airplanes. See column 4, lines 9-15.

Claims 45-52 and 57-61 define the product by how the product was made in that the claims recite a "float" glass. Thus, claims 45-52 and 57-61 are product-by-process claims. For purposes of examination, product-by-process claims are not limited to the manipulation of the recited steps, only the structure implied by the steps. See MPEP 2113. In the present case, the recited steps imply a structure of a flat glass. The reference suggests such a product. See column 5, lines 64-65.

Claims 50, 52, 54, 59, 61, 63, and 64 recite that the glass is "configured to be prestessable". Krolla et al. disclose a flat glass, which could undergo "prestressing" treatments. See column 1, lines 26-28.

Since the composition of the reference is the same as those claimed herein it follows that the glasses of Krolla et al. would inherently possess the same light transmittance, coefficient of thermal expansion, T_g, and processing temperatures as recited in claims 50-52, 54, 55, 59, 60, 61, 63, and 64. See MPEP 2112.

Claims 45, 53-57, and 62-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Comte et al., U.S. Patent 5,070,045.

Art Unit: 1755

Comte et al. disclose a transparent glass-ceramic comprising a lithium-alumino-silicate glass. See abstract of Comte et al. The compositional ranges of Comte et al. are sufficiently specific to anticipate the compositional limitations of claims 45, 53-57, and 62-64. See MPEP 2131.03. Furthermore, Comte et al. disclose examples 7 and 8, which meet the compositional limitations of claims 45, 53, 54, 57, 63, and 64. See Table 1. Comte et al. disclose that the glass optionally contains high quartz crystals. See abstract of Comte et al. The reference further discloses that a solid solution of beta-spodumene (also known as keatite) develops when heated to a higher temperature. See column 3, lines 50-56. The reference discloses that the glass ceramics are used for cooktop plates, heat resistant glazing, and cookware. See column 3, lines 28-32, 46-49, and 60-66.

Claims 45 and 57 define the product by how the product was made in that the claims recite a "float" glass. As noted above, claims 45 and 57 are product-by-process claims, and are for purposes of examination, product-by-process claims are not limited to the manipulation of the recited steps, only the structure implied by the steps. See MPEP 2113. In the present case, the recited steps imply a structure of a flat glass. The reference suggests such a product. See column 5, lines 60-65.

Claims 50, 54, 63, and 64 recite that the glass is "configured to be prestessable". Comte et al. disclose a flat glass, which could undergo "prestressing" treatments. See abstract of Comte et al.

Since the composition of the reference is the same as those claimed herein it follows that the glasses of Comte et al. would inherently possess the same light transmittance, coefficient of

Art Unit: 1755

thermal expansion, T_g, and processing temperatures as recited in claims 54, 55, 63, and 64. See MPEP 2112.

Claims 45-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shibuya et al., U.S. Patent 4,835,121.

Shibuya et al. disclose an infrared transparent glass-ceramic top plates of cooking stoves comprising a lithium-alumino-silicate glass. See abstract of Shibuya et al. The compositional ranges of Shibuya et al. are sufficiently specific to anticipate the compositional limitations of claims 32-44. See MPEP 2131.03. Furthermore, Shibuya et al. disclose example 9, which meet the compositional limitations of claims 45, 53, 54, 57, 63, and 64. See Table 2. Shibuya et al. disclose that the glass optionally contains high quartz crystals. See abstract of Shibuya et al. The reference further discloses that beta-spodumene (also known as keatite) crystals develop when heated to a higher temperature. See column 3, lines 8-11.

Claims 45-52 and 57-61 define the product by how the product was made in that the claims recite a "float" glass. As noted above, claims 32, 40, and 44 are product-by-process claims. For purposes of examination, product-by-process claims are not limited to the manipulation of the recited steps, only the structure implied by the steps. See MPEP 2113. In the present case, the recited steps imply a structure of a flat glass. The reference suggests such a product. See column 1, lines 45-48.

Claims 50, 52, 54, 59, 61, 63, and 64 recite that the glass is "configured to be prestessable". Shibuya et al. disclose a flat glass, which could undergo "prestressing" treatments. See abstract.

Art Unit: 1755

Since the composition of the reference is the same as those claimed herein it follows that the glasses of Shibuya et al. would inherently possess the same light transmittance, coefficient of thermal expansion, T_g, and processing temperatures as recited in claims 50-52, 54, 55, 59, 60, 61, 63, and 64. See MPEP 2112.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 17 July 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that Gaskell does not disclose the amount of platinum or rhodium that is contained in the float glass or glass ceramic. This is not deemed persuasive since the instant claims do not require the addition of platinum or rhodium. The instant claims set a maximum content level for the components but do not set a lower limit. Therefore the lower limit for platinum or rhodium is zero.

Applicants argue that Krolla, Comte, and Shibuya do not anticipate the instant claims since the references do not disclose the platinum, rhodium, or tin oxide levels of the glass or glass-ceramic material. This is not deemed persuasive since the instant claims do not require the addition of platinum, rhodium, or tin oxide. The instant claims set a maximum content level for the components but do not set a lower limit. Therefore, the lower limit for platinum, rhodium, and tin oxide is zero.

Art Unit: 1755

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth A. Bolden whose telephone number is 571-272-1363. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 am-7:00 pm with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark L. Bell can be reached on 571-272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1755

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EAB 16 May 2004

> KARL GROUP PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1755